# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# Translation

# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

|  |  |                                     |   |   | <del></del>  |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Applicant's or agent's file reference 02SGL0139WOP |  |                                     | FOR FURTHER                                   | ACTION  | See Form PCT/IPEA/416  |
| International application No.                      |  |                                     | International filing                          | date (day/month/year)                                     | Priority date (day/month/vear)   |
| PCT/EP2004/008047                                  |  |                                     | 19.07.20                                      | 04  | 21.07.2003   |
| Internation  | al Patent Classification   | on (IPC) or nation                  | l<br>onal classification ar                   | nd IPC  |  |
| H01L   | 51/10  |                                     |   |   |  |
| Applicant  |  |                                     |   |   |  |
| 1  | TT AG  |                                     |   |   |  |
|  |  |                                     | •   |   |  |
| 1. T   | his report is the intender Article 35 and tr                                 | rnational prelin                    | ninary examination                            | report, established by thi<br>g to Article 36.            | s International Preliminary Examining Authority  |
| 2. T   | 2. This REPORT consists of a total of 13 sheets, including this cover sheet. |                                     |   | ling this cover sheet.                                    |  |
| 3. T   | his report is also acco  | ompanied by Al                      | NEXES, comprisin                              | g:  | •  |
| a  | . (sent to the   | applicant and                       | to the International i                        | Bureau) a total of 6                                      | sheets, as follows:  |
|  | sheets<br>sheets   | s of the descript                   | tion, claims and/or d                         | rawings which have been d by this Authority (see I        | n amended and are the basis for this report and/or<br>Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative |
|  | Instru   | ictions).                           |   |   |  |
|  | sheets<br>the di<br>Box  | s which superse<br>isclosure in the | de earlier sheets, bu<br>international applic | nt which this Authority co<br>ation as filed, as indicate | onsiders contain an amendment that goes beyond ed in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental          |
| ь  |  | Intermetional E                     |   | - F C' - 1' 4 - 4 1 1                                     |  |
| ١  | (sem to the  | memunona e                          | sureau oniy) a totai c                        | or (indicate type and numi                                | ber of electronic carrier(s))  |
| ·  | related thereto  | o, in computer                      | readable form only,                           | as indicated in the Supp                                  | . containing a sequence listing and/or tables slemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see          |
| 4. Ti  | his report contains inc  |                                     |   | ems.  |  |
| <u> </u>   | 71   |                                     | -   |   | ·  |
|  | 7  | Basis of the                        | report  |   |  |
|  | _ Box No. IL.  ✓   | Priority                            |   |   | · ·  |
|  | ☑ Box No. III  | Non-establis                        | hment of opinion wi                           | th regard to novelty, inve                                | ntive step and industrial applicability  |
|  | Box No. IV   | Lack of unity                       | of invention                                  |   |  |
|  | Box No. V  | Reasoned sta<br>citations and       | tement under Article<br>explanations suppor   | e 35(2) with regard to nove<br>ting such statement        | velty, inventive step or industrial applicability;   |
|  | Box No. VI   | Certain docu                        | ments cited                                   |   | •  |
| lacktriangle                                       | Box No. VII  | Certain defec                       | as in the internationa                        | al application  |  |
| ≥  | Box No. VIII   | Certain obser                       | vations on the intern                         | national application                                      |  |
| Date of submission of the demand Date              |  |                                     |   | Date of completion of t                                   | his report   |
|  |  |                                     |   |   | -  |
| Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP            |  |                                     |   | Authorized officer  |  |
|  |  |                                     |   |   |  |
|  |  |                                     |   |   |  |
| Facsimile No.                                      |  |                                     |   | Telephone No  |  |

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/008047

| Box No      | o. I                                  | Basis of the report  |  |  |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
|             |                                       | rd to the language, this report is based on the internation  | onal application in the language in                                    | n which it was filed, unless otherwise   |
|             |                                       | report is based on translations from the original langua<br>th is the language of a translation furnished for the pur      |  |  |
|             |                                       | international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))   |  |  |
|             |                                       | publication of the international application (Rule 12.4  | 4)   |  |
|             |                                       | international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and   | /or 55.3)  |  |
| $r_0$       | Vith regai<br>eceiving (<br>us report | rd to the elements of the international application, this<br>Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 ar<br>): | report is based on (replacement<br>re referred to in this report as ". | sheets which have been furnished to the originally filed" and are not annexed to |
| Ĺ           | the i                                 | nternational application as originally filed/furnished   |  |  |
| 2           | the d                                 | description:   |  |  |
|             | page                                  | s <u>1-39</u>  |  | as originally filed/furnished  |
|             | page                                  | s*   | received by this Authority on  |  |
|             | page                                  | s*   | received by this Authority on  |  |
| Σ           | the c                                 | laims:   |  |  |
|             | nos.                                  |  |  | as originally filed/furnished  |
| i           | nos.                                  |  |  | er with any statement) under Article 19  |
|             | nos.*                                 |  | _  | 23.05.2005 with letter   |
|             | nos.                                  |  |  |  |
| 12          | 7                                     | rawings:   | . To served by that Humorny on   |  |
|             |                                       |  |  |  |
|             | sheet                                 |  |  | <del></del>  |
|             | sheet                                 |  |  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |
| Г           | sheet                                 |  |  |  |
| L           | _l aseq<br>⊐                          | uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplem  | ental Box Relating to Sequence L                                       | isting.  |
| 3.          | The                                   | amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:   |  |  |
|             |                                       | the description, pages   |  |  |
|             |                                       | the claims, nos.   |  |  |
|             |                                       | the drawings, sheets/figs  |  |  |
|             |                                       | the sequence listing (specify):  |  |  |
|             |                                       | any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):  |  |  |
| 4.          | This they                             | report has been established as if (some of) the amend have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fil              | ments annexed to this report and ed, as indicated in the Supplemen     | listed below had not been made, since at al Box (Rule 70.2(c)).                  |
|             |                                       | the description, pages   |  |  |
|             | $\boxtimes$                           | the claims, nos. 32  |  |  |
|             |                                       | the drawings, sheets/figs  |  |  |
|             |                                       | the sequence listing (specify):  |  |  |
|             |                                       | any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):  |  |  |
| * <u>If</u> | item 4 ap                             | plies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "supe   | rseded."   |  |

| Box No. I   | III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industri  | al applicability                       |
|-------------|---|--|
|             | tions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to e have not been examined in respect of:   | be non obvious), or to be industrially |
|             | the entire international application  |  |
| $\boxtimes$ | claims Nos. 32  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |
| becaus      | ise:  |  |
|             | the said international application. or the said claims Nos.   |  |
|             | relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary example to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary example. | nination ( <i>specify</i> ):           |
|             |   |  |
|             |   |  |
|             |   |  |
|             |   |  |
|             |   |  |
|             |   |  |
|             | •   |  |
|             |   |  |
|             | the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos.  |  |
|             | are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):  |  |
| ·           |   |  |
|             |   | ,                                      |
|             |   |  |
|             | ·   |  |
|             |   | •                                      |
|             |   |  |
|             |   |  |
|             |   |  |
|             | the claims, or said claims Nos.   | ana sa ina da avertallo suma neste d   |
|             | by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.  | are so inadequately supported          |
| $\boxtimes$ | no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 32   |  |
|             | the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided Instructions in that:  | d for in Annex C of the Administrative |
|             | the written form has not been furnished   |  |
|             | does not comply with the standard   |  |
|             | the computer readable form has not been furnished   |  |
|             | does not comply with the standard   |  |
|             | the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readal technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.           | ole form only, do not comply with the  |
|             | See Supplemental Box for further details.   |  |

| Box | x No. V Reasoned staten<br>citations and ex | nent under Ar | ticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; |      |
|-----|---|---------------|---|------|
| ì.  | Statement                                   | -             |   |      |
|     | Novelty (N)                                 | Claims        |   | YES  |
|     |   | Claims        | 1-3   | _ NO |
|     | Inventive step (IS)                         | Claims        |   | YES  |
|     |   | Claims        | 1-31  | _ NO |
|     | Industrial applicability (IA)               | Claims        | 1-31  | YES  |
|     |   | Claims        |   | _ NO |
| 2.  | Citations and explanations (Rul             | e 70 7)       |   |      |
|     | Change and Capanizations (113)              | ,             |   |      |
|     |   |               |   |      |
|     | ÷   |               |   |      |
|     |   |               |   |      |
|     |   |               |   |      |
|     |   |               |   |      |
|     |   |               |   |      |
|     |   |               |   |      |
|     |   |               |   |      |
|     |   |               |   |      |
|     |   |               |   |      |
|     |   |               |   |      |
|     | y.  |               |   |      |
|     | *   | -             |   |      |
|     |   |               | •   |      |
|     |   |               | •   |      |
|     |   |               |   |      |
|     |   |               | ·   |      |
|     |   |               |   |      |
|     |   |               |   | •    |
|     |   |               |   |      |
|     |   |               |   |      |
|     |   |               |   |      |

| Box No. VII      | Certain defects in the international application                                 |
|------------------|--|
| The following de | efects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |
|                  |  |

| Box No. VIII                           | Certain observations on the international application   |
|--|---|
| The following ob<br>the description, a | servations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by<br>re-made: |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
| ,                                      |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
| ,                                      |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  | •   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of:

Boxes I, V, VII and VIII

The following observations pertain to objections relating to one or more of the boxes checked on the cover sheet.

- This report makes reference to the following documents:
  - D1: US 2001/030320 A1 (BUDD RUSSELL ALAN ET AL)
    18 October 2001 (2001-10-18)
  - D2: MOLLER S ET AL: "Improved light out-coupling in organic light emitting diodes employing ordered microlens arrays" JOURNAL OF APPLIED PHYSICS, AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS. NEW YORK, US, vol. 91, no. 5, 1 March 2002 (2002-03-01), pages 3324-3327, XP012055958 ISSN: 0021-8979
  - D3: US-A-5 550 676 (CHIBA ISSEI ET AL)
    27 August 1996 (1996-08-27)
  - D4: US 2001/050736 A1 (WON JONG-HO ET AL)

    13 December 2001 (2001-12-13)
  - D5: US 2003/127973 A1 (WEAVER MICHAEL STUART ET AL) 10 July 2003 (2003-07-10)
  - D6: MEERHOLZ K ET AL: "OUTSMARTING WAVEGUIDE

    LOSSES IN THIN-FILM LIGHT-EMITTING DIODES"

    ADVANCED FUNCTIONAL MATERIALS, WILEY

    INTERSCIENCES, WEINHEIM, DE, vol. 11, no. 4,

    August 2001 (2001-08), pages 251-253,

    XP001072700 ISSN: 1616-301X
  - D7: US 2002/185968 A1 (OHKUBO KAZUNOBU)

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/008047

Supplemental Box

12 December 2002 (2002-12-12)

D8: US 2002/122642 A1 (KIJIMA YASUNORI)

5 September 2002 (2002-09-05)

The following documents have been introduced by the examiner in accordance with the PCT Guidelines, paragraph VI-7.24. Copies are attached to this report; the same numbering will be used throughout the procedure:

D9: JP-2003168553

D10: US-B-6 274 978

D11: US-B-6472 817

The amendments with the letter of 20 May 2005 introduce substantive matter which, contrary to PCT 34(2)(b), goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed. The amendments are as follows:

The subject matter of claim 32 is an inadmissible generalization of the lamp element disclosed in figure 15 of the present application. Therefore, claim 32 is inadmissible.

- The application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6 because claims 1, 3, 6, 7, 10, 11, 14, 15, 21 and 27-32 are unclear and claim 1 is not supported by the description.
- 3.1 The expression "and/or in which light-scattering structures...can be fastened" used in claim 1 (see

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/008047

Supplemental Box

lines 10-12) is vague and unclear and leaves the reader uncertain as to the meaning of the technical feature in question. As a result, the subject matter of said claim is not clearly defined (PCT Article 6). Further, since the lamp element is used for the purpose of illumination, the use of light-scattering structures is necessary for the definition of the lamp element (PCT Article 6 in conjunction with PCT Rule 6.3(b)). The above-indicated expression should be deleted in order to meet the requirements of PCT Article 6.

- 3.2 The expressions "and/or", "more particularly" and "for example" used in claims 1, 3, 6, 11, 14 and 21 are vague and unclear and leave the reader uncertain as to the meaning of the technical features in question. As a result, the subject matter of said claims is not clearly defined (PCT Article 6).
- Dependent claims 6, 7, 10, 15 and 27-31 are either inconsistent with the subject matter of independent claim 1, with the result that the subject matter of said claims is not clear (PCT Article 6), or comprise a combination of features which are not supported by the description (PCT Article 6) (for example, edge coupling by means of a coupling element (23) is not disclosed).
- 3.4 Although claims 1 and 32 are drafted as separate independent claims, they seem in fact to relate to

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/008047

Supplemental Box

the same subject matter, the only apparent difference being in the definition of the subject matter for which protection is sought. The claims are therefore not concise. Moreover, the claims display an overall lack of clarity because the number of independent claims makes it difficult, if not impossible, to identify the subject matter for which protection is sought, and it is therefore unreasonably difficult for third parties to determine the scope of protection. Therefore, these claims do not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6.

- 3.5 Claim 1 is not supported by the description, since it also comprises a device, wherein the OLED plate (that is, the plate-shaped substrate on which the OLED is arranged) could be perpendicular to the light-guiding plate. However, such a device is not supported by the description and the drawings. Claims 4 and 5 would have to be combined with claim 1 in order for claim 1 to be supported by the description.
- 3.6 The link between substrate and OLED in claim 1 is unclear (that is, the OLED is not claimed on the glass substrate). Moreover, in claim 1 (see line 17) the (glass) substrate and "an" incident light surface are unclear.
- The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(2) because the subject matter of claims 1-3 is not novel insofar

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/008047

Supplemental Box

as the claims can be understood in view of the above-indicated lack of clarity.

- 4.1 The subject matter of claims 1-3 is not novel in view of the disclosure of D1 (see figures 1(a) and 2 and the corresponding text), in particular because the subject matter of claim 1 does not exclude the possibility that the incident light surface is coupled by means of a coupling element (D1, figure 2, element 30) (see also claim 27).
- 4.2 The subject matter of claims 1-3 is not novel in view of the disclosure of D9 (see the abstract and the drawings), in particular because separate substrates for the OLED and the light-guiding plate (which are glued directly together) are not claimed.
- The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(3) because the subject matter of claims 1-3 does not involve an inventive step insofar as the claims can be understood in view of the above-indicated lack of clarity.
- 5.1 The subject matter of claims 1-3 differs from the disclosure of D10 (see figures 6, 7 and 10 and the corresponding text) in that the substrate for the OLED and that for the light-guiding device are plates. This merely represents an obvious alternative for a person skilled in the art which he would choose according to the use of the lamp

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/008047

Supplemental Box

element.

- 5.2 The subject matter of claims 1-3 differs from the disclosure of D11 (see figures 8, 9, 10 and 12 and the corresponding text) in that the light-guiding plate is also a substrate. However, this solution would be an obvious measure to a person skilled in the art for the purpose of reducing the materials requirement, provided that the light-guiding plate were sufficiently thick.
- Dependent claims 4-31, insofar as the claims can be understood in view of the above-indicated lack of clarity, do not contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer back, could lead to subject matter which involved an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3), since they claim only known and obvious possibilities which a person skilled in the art would apply according to the circumstances, without thereby being inventive (see D1-D11).
- 7 Claim 32 has not been examined in regard to novelty and inventive step, since it relates to unsearched subject matter (PCT Rule 70.2(d)).
- The following points are made for the sake of completeness.
- 8.1 Independent claim 1 has not been correctly drafted in the two-part form defined by PCT Rule 6.3(b).

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/008047

#### Supplemental Box

However, in the present case the two-part form would appear to be appropriate. Accordingly, the features known in combination from the prior art should have been placed in the preamble (PCT Rule 6.3(b)(i)) and the remaining features specified in the characterizing part (PCT Rule 6.3(b)(ii)).

8.2 Contrary to PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii), the description does not cite D1-D11 or indicate the relevant prior art disclosed therein.